

**REMARKS**

Receipt of the Office Action of May 19, 2006 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 6-11 are presented for examination. These have been rejected as anticipated by Tamura et al. under 35 USC 102(b).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Regarding independent claim 6, the examiner states that "an adaption module (element 30, Figs. 1-35) [is] connected to said housing which serves for securement to the hat rail . . .".


Applicant cannot agree. If the housing "serves for securement to the hat rail," then anticipation cannot be supported because it is not the housing but the adaptation module "which serves for securement to the hat rail."

Claim 6 has been amended formally only. And for the reasons noted above, it and claims 7-11 which depend therefrom, are believed to be patentable over Tamura et al.

Allowance of claims 6-11 is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC



Felix J. D'Ambrosio  
Attorney for Applicant

Registration Number 25,721

Date: October 19, 2006

**Customer Number \*23364\***  
**BACON & THOMAS, PLLC**  
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
Telephone: (703) 683-0500  
Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

S:\Producer\jfd\CLIENTS\Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH\SCHM3012-WT0030\Oct 19 2006 response.wpd